KANEPACKAGE PHILIPPINE INC.  No. 5 Ring Road LISP II, Brgy. La Mesa, Calamba City, Laguna Telephone No. (049) 545-7166 to 69 Fax No. (049) 545-6302			INVESTIGA  Inhouse Detection Control No.: IRF-23-05-	)	_	FORM (IRF) mer Claim 18-May-23	
Customer	EPPI		Attention To	NOEMI CEPE	DA .		
em Code 515048700			Department	KPLIMA- PRO			
			Date of Detection	18-May-22			
tem Description CARTON BOX  Job Order Number 36284			Section Detected	PRODUCTION GLUING			
oob order Hamber	ILLUSTRATION OF	THE PROBLEM	Major		Minor		
	ILLUGITATION C.		Lot Quantity (pcs.)	Reject Qua		Reject Percentage	
		111	240	7:		30.00%	
			Nature of Defect:	WORN	1 OUT		
			E1100000000000000000000000000000000000	GOOD CONDITIC	N; NO OCCUI	RRENCE OF WORN OUT	
			Actual: WORN OU	Γ ENCOUNTERED	DUE TO ITE	M COLLAPSED	
NO. OF OCC	CURRENCE	DISPOSITION	AREA OF OC	CURRENCE / ORI	GIN	CONTENT	
First		Hold	Slotter	Gluin	g	Material	
Recurrence		Special Acceptance	EQOS	Vertic	cal	Dimension	
No.:		For Rework	Diecut	Othe	LUCIDA	Appearance	
Date:		Reject / Disposal	Detaching			Process / Method	
Issue	ed by	Checked by	Approved	by		Received by (Receiving Section)	
C. Arevalo QA-IE Staff		QA Supervisor	QA Asst. Ma	ınager		FOR MOCILIN  N. Cepeda  Head/ Supervisor	
		I, INVESTIGA	TION / ANALYSIS				
DIRECT CAU	SE: (Analyze the reas	on of occurrence, why it happened?)	INDIRECT CAU	SE: (Analyze the re	eason of occur	rence, why it leaked?)	
Why 1:  Why 2:  Why 3:  Why 4:  Why 5:			Why 1: Why 2: Why 3: Why 4: Why 5: Why 1:				
Sbuiloo Why 2: Why 3: Why 4:			Why 2: Why 3: Why 4:				
Why 5:  Why 1:  Why 2:			Why 5:  Why 1:  Why 2:				
Why 2: Why 4: Why 5:			Why 3: Why 4: Why 5:				

## No. Tel

## KANEPACKAGE PHILIPPINE INC.

No. 5 Ring Road LISP II, Brgy. La Mesa, Calamba City, Laguna Telephone No. (049) 545-7166 to 69

## INVESTIGATION REPORT FORM (IRF)

			FINAL CON	CLUSION			
	OCCURRENCE ROOTCA	JSE				OUTFLOW ROOTCAUSE	
IMMEDIATE ACTION	blem found)	CORRECTIVE ACTION: (Actions to be done to ensure that the problem will not happen again)					
. Sorting Result				Acti	ions to be do	ne to eliminate recurrence	Who / When
I	ocation Total Sto	ock NG	Total Good				
RM				System			
WIP .				oyete			
FG							
3. Orientation							
Date	Time			Design /			
Title	tle			Tools			
Attendees							
C. Reworking							
Rework Quantity							
Total Good				Process			
Rework Percentage (Go	od)						
A Principle of the Control of the Co		lled out by QA In	-charge)	Date Conducted:_		PIC:	
A Principle of the Control of the Co	SE VERIFICATION (To be fi	A Description of the second	-charge)	Date Conducted:_		PIC:Recommendation	
A Principle of the Control of the Co	SE VERIFICATION (To be fi	A Description of the second	-charge)	Date Conducted:_			
A Principle of the Control of the Co	SE VERIFICATION (To be fi				out by QA In	Recommendation	
A Principle of the Control of the Co	SE VERIFICATION (To be find the little of th		ION VERIFICAT	Date Conducted:_	out by QA Ir	Recommendation	
A Principle of the Control of the Co	SE VERIFICATION (To be fi	DRRECTIVE ACT	ION VERIFICAT	ION (To be filled onented?	out by QA Ir	Recommendation	
A Principle of the Control of the Co	III. Co	DRRECTIVE ACT	ION VERIFICAT	ION (To be filled o	out by QA Ir	Recommendation	
II. QA ROOTCAU	Identified Rootcause  Identified Rootcause  III. Co	DRRECTIVE ACT	ION VERIFICAT Impler	ION (To be filled onented?	out by QA In	Recommendation	
II. QA ROOTCAU	Identified Rootcause  Identified Rootcause  III. Co	DRRECTIVE ACT	ION VERIFICAT	ION (To be filled onented?	out by QA In	Recommendation	
II. QA ROOTCAU	III. Co	DRRECTIVE ACT	ION VERIFICAT Impler	ION (To be filled onented?	out by QA Ir	Recommendation	
1st Verification of Action	III. Co	DRRECTIVE ACT	ION VERIFICAT Impler [ ] Yes [ ] Yes	ION (To be filled onented?	out by QA In	Recommendation	
1st Verification of Action 2nd Verification of Action 3rd Verification of Action Effectiveness of Action Note: If no same defe	Ill. Co	DRRECTIVE ACT  Date	[ ] Yes [ ] Yes [ ] Yes	ION (To be filled onented?  [ ] No  [ ] No  [ ] No  [ ] No	effective / cl	Recommendation	ocurs within 5 consecutive improvement action.
1st Verification of Action 2nd Verification of Action 3rd Verification of Action Effectiveness of Action Note: If no same defe	Ill. Co	DRRECTIVE ACT  Date	ION VERIFICATI Impler [ ] Yes [ ] Yes [ ] Yes [ ] Yes ies, corrective actigation Report's	ION (To be filled onented?  [ ] No  [ ] No  [ ] No  [ ] No	effective / cl	Recommendation  -charge)  Remarks	ocurs within 5 consecutive improvement action.
1st Verification of Action 2nd Verification of Action 3rd Verification of Action Effectiveness of Action Note: If no same defe	Ill. Co	DRRECTIVE ACT  Date	[ ] Yes Ities, corrective actigation Report's	ION (To be filled onented?  [ ] No  ction is considered thall be re-issued to	effective / cl	Recommendation  -charge)  Remarks  osed. If the same problem of department to provide new	improvement action.
1st Verification of Action 2nd Verification of Action 3rd Verification of Action Effectiveness of Action Note: If no same defect deliveries or 3rd verification of Action Status:	III. Co	DRRECTIVE ACT  Date	[ ] Yes Ities, corrective actigation Report's	ION (To be filled onented?  [ ] No  [ ] No  [ ] No  [ ] No  cition is considered thall be re-issued to	effective / cl	Recommendation  -charge)  Remarks  osed. If the same problem of department to provide new	ccurs within 5 consecutive improvement action.
1st Verification of Action 2nd Verification of Action 3rd Verification of Action Effectiveness of Action Note: If no same defectiveries or 3rd verification of Action	III. Co	DRRECTIVE ACT Date  Date	[ ] Yes Ities, corrective actigation Report's	ION (To be filled onented?  [ ] No  [ ] No  [ ] No  [ ] No  cition is considered thall be re-issued to	effective / cl	Recommendation  -charge)  Remarks  osed. If the same problem of department to provide new	improvement action.